1

2

3

4

## THE HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

5

6

7

8

9 vs.

10 CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS AND COMPUCOM, 11

Defendant

LAFFON GLYMPH,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-01704-JHC

MOTION TO COMPEL

**DEFENDANTS COMPUCOM TO PRODUCE** 

**INSURANCE POLICY** 

NOTING DATE FEB 5<sup>TH</sup> 2025

MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS COMPUCOM TO PRODUCE INSURANCE **POLICY** 

14

12

13

## I. INTRODUCTION

16

15

17 18

19

Plaintiff, Laffon Glymph, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an order compelling Defendants CompuCom (collectively, "Defendants") to produce the relevant insurance policy pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

20

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

On September 17, 2024 Plaintiff served Defendants with a request for production of documents, including any and all insurance policies under which any insurer may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

To date, Defendants counsel has failed to produce the requested insurance policy, despite multiple requests and attempts to resolve this matter without court intervention.

1

MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS COMPUCOM TO PRODUCE INSURANCE POLICY LAFFON GLYMPH 425-652-0715 JOJODASHAWN@MSN.COM

Case 2:21-cv-01704-JHC	Document 106	Filed 01/22/25	Page 2 of 2
------------------------	--------------	----------------	-------------

1	III. ARGUMENT		
2	A. Plaintiff's Request Is Proper Under Rule 26.		
3			
4	Under Rule 26(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, parties may obtain discovery		
5	regarding any non-privileged matter that is relevant to any party's claim or defense. Plaintiff's		
6	request for the insurance policy is proper, as it is likely to lead to the discovery of admissible		
7	evidence regarding Defendants' ability to satisfy a judgment in this case.		
8	B. Defendants' Counsel Refusal to Produce the Insurance Policy Is Unjustified.		
9	D. Defendants Counsel Refusal to Floduce the hisurance Policy is Offusithed.		
10	Defendants counsel has not provided any valid objection to the production of the insurance		
11	policy. Mr. Holt's refusal to comply with Plaintiff's request is unjustified and hinders the		
12	discovery process.		
13			
14	C. Good Faith Efforts to Resolve the Dispute Have Been Unsuccessful.		
15			
16	Plaintiff has made good faith efforts to resolve this dispute without court intervention, including		
17	sending an email to Defendants' counsel Mr. Holt and attempting to meet and confer. Despite		
18	these efforts, Defendants counsel Mr. Holt has failed to provide the requested documents.		
19	IV. CONCLUSION		
20	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant Plaintiff's Motion		
	to Compel and order Defendants to produce the requested insurance policy within 5 days of the		
21	Court's order. Plaintiff further requests any other relief that the Court deems just and proper.		
22			
23	Dated: 22 <sup>nd</sup> of January 2025		
24	Respectfully submitted		
25	/s/ Laffon Glymph		
26	Laffon Glymph Pro Se		
27			
28			